

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 450
Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/816,073	03/31/2004	Lee D. Whetsel	TI-27442.2	8884	
23494	7590 10/19/2005		EXAMINER		
	TRUMENTS INCOR	TON, DAVID			
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999 DALLAS, TX 75265			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2138		
			DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Δ	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments		-	10/816,073	WHETSEL, LEE	WHETSEL, LEE D.			
Office Action Summary			xaminer	Art Unit				
			avid Ton	2133				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appea	rs on the cover shee	t with the correspondence ac	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on						
2a)□	, , ,		tion is non-final.					
3)□								
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the a	application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
•	The drawing(s) filed on 21 March 200		accepted or b)	objected to by the Examine	r.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen	• •		🗀					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)		ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Inforr	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date			of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/816,073 Page 2

Art Unit: 2133

1. Claims 1-23 are presented for examination.

Double Patenting

2. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 3. Claims 14-16 are rejected under statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting as claiming the same invention as that of claims 11-13 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,405,335.
- 4. The non-statutory double patenting rejection, whether of the obviousness-type or non-obviousness-type, is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent. *In re Thorington*, 418

Application/Control Number: 10/816,073

Art Unit: 2133

F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969); In re Vogel, 422 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re

Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); and In re Goodman, 29 USPQ2d

2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(b) and 8 may

Page 3

be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double

patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly

owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.78(d).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a

terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with

37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-13 and 17-22 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of

obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of U.S.

patent no. 6,405,335. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not

patentably distinct from each other because the scope of the claimed subject matter in

claims 1-13 and 17-22 of the instant application is broader than the scope of the

claimed subject matter in claims 1-19 of U.S. patent no. 6,405,335. Omission of an

element and its function is obvious if the function of the element is not desired.

Representative independent claims 17-19 of the instant application will be taken as an

example in view of claims 14-16 of U.S. patent no. 6,405,335.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC ' 102

Application/Control Number: 10/816,073

Art Unit: 2133

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

Page 4

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by

Crouch et al. (Crouch) patent no. 5,592,493.

8. As to claim 23, Crouch teaches the invention as claimed, including a process of

manufacturing an integrated circuit [see Fig. 1] comprising:

forming a core circuit [cores 12-22] on an integrated circuit to have functional

parallel data input bus [connection bus from test controller 10 to cores 12-22] and a

functional parallel data output bus [connection bus from cores 12-22 to MUX 24];

forming at least one test data input [STDI] on the functional parallel data input

bus; and

forming at least one test data output [STDO] on the functional parallel data output

bus.

7.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/816,073 Page 5

Art Unit: 2133

9. The prior art of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to David Ton whose telephone number is (571) 272-3828.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th from 5:30 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Albert DeCady can be reached on (571) 272-3819. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David Ton

Davidian

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2133